arXiv:math/0401193v1 [math.GR] 16 Jan 2004

FINITE BRUCK LOOPS

Michael Aschbacher, Michael K. Kinyon, and J.D. Phillips

California Institute of Technology, Indiana University South Bend,
and Wabash College

Let X be a magma; that is X is a set together with a binary operation $\circ$ on X. For each $x \in X$ we obtain maps $R(x)$ and $L(x)$ on X defined by $R(x) : y \mapsto y \circ x$ and $L(x) : y \mapsto x \circ y$ called *right and left translation* by x, respectively. A *loop* is a magma X with an identity 1 such that $R(x)$ and $L(x)$ are permutations of X for all $x \in X$. In essence loops are groups without the associative axiom. See [Br, Pf] for further discussion of basic properties of loops.

Certain classes of loops have received special attention: A loop X is a (right) *Bol loop* if it satisfies the (right) *Bol identity* (Bol):

$$((z \circ x) \circ y) \circ x = z \circ ((x \circ y) \circ x). \tag{Bol}$$

or equivalently

$$R(x)R(y)R(x) = R((x \circ y) \circ x). \tag{Bol2}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In a Bol loop, the subloop $\langle x \rangle$ generated by $x \in X$ is a group. Thus we can define x^{-1} and the order $|x|$ of x to be, respectively, the inverse of x and the order of x in that group. For basic facts about Bol loops, see [Ro].

A loop X in which inverses are defined satisfies the *automorphic inverse property (AIP)* if $(x \circ y)^{-1} = x^{-1} \circ y^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Finally X is a *Bruck loop* if X is a Bol loop satisfying the AIP. Bruck loops are also known as K-loops [Ki] and gyrocommutative gyrogroups (see, e.g., [FU]).

We prove many of our results on loops by translating them into results about groups, using an observation of Reinhold Baer in [Ba]: Given a loop X, define $K = \{R(x) : x \in X\}$ regarded as a subset of the symmetric group $Sym(X)$ on X, $G = \langle K \rangle$ to be the subgroup of $Sym(X)$ generated by K, and $H = G_1$ to be the stabilizer in G of the identity 1 of X. Set $\epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$. In the loop theory literature, G is usually called the *right multiplication group* of X, but since we will make no reference to groups with left translations as generators, we will follow [A2] and simply call G the *enveloping group* of X. The subgroup H is the (right) *inner mapping group* of X, and we call $\epsilon(X)$ the *envelope* of X.

We can now state our main theorems:

The first author was partially supported by NSF-0203417

Theorem 1. *Let X be a finite Bruck loop with enveloping group G. Then*

(1) $X = O^{2'}(X) * O(X)$ and $G = O^{2'}(G) * O(G)$ are central products.

(2) $O^{2'}(X) \cap O(X) = Z$ is a subloop of $Z(X)$ of odd order and $O^{2'}(G) \cap O(G)$ is a subgroup of $Z(G)$ of odd order.

(3) $X/Z = O^{2'}(X)/Z \times O(X)/Z$.

(4) $O^2(X) = O(X)$, so $O^{2'}(X)/Z$ is a 2-element loop.

(5) If X is solvable then $O^{2'}(X) = O_2(X)$, so $X = O_2(X) \times O(X)$ and $G = O_2(G) \times O(G)$.

See [FGT] for notation and terminology involving groups. An element of X of order a power of 2 is called a 2-*element*, and we say that X is a 2-*element loop* if every element of X is a 2-element. Given a set π of primes, X is a π-*loop* if $\pi(|X|) \subseteq \pi$. In particular, if $|X|$ is a power of 2, then X is a 2-*loop*, while if $|X|$ is odd, then X is a $2'$-*loop*. We write $O_\pi(X)$ for the largest normal π-subloop of X, so that $O_2(X)$ is the largest normal 2-subloop. Further we abbreviate $O_{2'}(X)$, the largest normal subloop of odd order, by $O(X)$. Finally we write $O^2(X)$, $O^{2'}(X)$ for the subloop generated by all elements of odd order, all 2-elements, respectively.

The *center* $Z(X)$ of a loop X is the set of all $a \in X$ such that $a \circ (x \circ y) = x \circ (a \circ y) = (x \circ a) \circ y = x \circ (y \circ a)$ for all $x, y \in X$. The center is a normal subloop (see Section 1 for the definition of normality).

One of the main tools in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following result about arbitrary Bruck loops, which is of independent interest:

Theorem 2. *Let X be a Bruck loop and $x, y \in X$ with x a 2-element and y an element of odd order. Then $R(x)R(y) = R(x \circ y) = R(y \circ x) = R(y)R(x)$. Hence $x \circ y = y \circ x$.*

Theorem 1 reduces the study of finite Bruck loops to the study of 2-element loops and loops of odd order. The category of Bruck loops of odd order is essentially equivalent to the category of pairs (G, τ) where G is a group of odd order and τ an involutory automorphism of G such that $G = [G, \tau]$ and $C_{Z(G)}(\tau) = 1$. This fact goes back to Glauberman in [G2] and [G3]; see also 5.7, 5.8, and 5.10. As a result, Bruck loops of odd order are well behaved and well understood. On the other hand Bruck 2-loops and Bruck 2-element loops seem difficult to analyze.

It seems possible that all finite Bruck loops X are solvable, and hence $X = O_2(X) \times O(X)$. Our next theorem is a step toward proving that finite Bruck loops are indeed solvable. Define a finite Bruck loop to be an *M-loop* if each proper section of X is solvable, but X is not solvable.

Theorem 3. *Let X be an M-loop, $\epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$, $J = O_2(G)$, and $G^* = G/J$. Then*

(1) X is a simple 2-element loop.

(2) $G^* \cong PGL_2(q)$, with $q = 2^n + 1 \geq 5$, H^* is a Borel subgroup of G^*, and K^* consists of the involutions in $G^* - F^*(G^*)$.

(3) $F^*(G) = J$.

(4) Let $n_0 = |K \cap J|$ and $n_1 = |K \cap aJ|$ for $a \in K - J$. Then n_0 is a power of 2, $n_0 = n_1 2^{n-1}$, and $|X| = |K| = (q+1)n_0 = n_1 2^n (2^{n-1} + 1)$.

One would like to show that M-loops do not exist, and hence show that finite Bruck loops are solvable. Theorem 3 identifies a set of obstructions to that goal. This is essentially the same set of obstructions to the Main Theorem of [A2] on Bol loops of exponent 2. See section 12 of [A2] for a discussion of possible approaches to eliminating these obstructions or alternatively to constructing examples of M-loops. These approaches involve the analysis of Bruck 2-loops.

A loop X is said to be an *A_r-loop* if its inner mapping group acts as a group of automorphisms of X in its permutation representation on X. The class of finite Bol loops which are also A_r-loops is much larger than the class of finite Bruck loops; for example the former class includes all finite groups. Still (cf. Lemma 8.1) the latter class can be described in terms of the former class and the class of finite groups, allowing us to prove:

Corollary 4. *Let X be a finite loop which is both a Bol loop and an A_r-loop. Then X is solvable iff the enveloping group of X is solvable.*

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the solvability of groups of odd order, established by Feit and Thompson in [FT], Glauberman's Z^*-Theorem [G1], and several other results from the theory of finite groups, whose proofs are a bit easier and can be found in [FGT]. The proof of Theorem 3 involves appeals to the Main Theorem of [A2] and its proof, which in turn uses the classification of the finite simple groups, together with deep knowledge of the subgroup structure of the automorphism groups of those groups.

Section 1. Loops, folders, envelopes, and twisted subgroups

In this section we recall some notation and terminology involving loops, summarize some facts about loops, and references for those facts.

In [A2], a *loop folder* is defined to be a triple $\xi = (G, H, K)$, where G is a group, H is a subgroup of G, K is a subset of G containing 1, and for all $g \in G$, K is a set of coset representatives for H^g in G. The folder is an *envelope* if $G = \langle K \rangle$ and *faithful* if $\ker_H(G) = 1$, where $\ker_H(G)$ is the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H.

For example if X is a loop then $\epsilon(X)$ is a faithful loop envelope.

Section 1 of [A2] contains the definition of a category of loop folders and functors ϵ and l to and from the category of loops and the category of loop folders. The reader is directed to [A2] for notation, terminology, and results about folders and these functors.

A *twisted subgroup* of a group G is a subset K of G such that $1 \in K$ and for all $x, y \in K$, $xy^{-1}x \in K$. See ([A2], §5) for a brief discussion of twisted subgroups taken from [A1].

A folder $\xi = (G, H, K)$ is a *Bol loop folder* if K is a twisted subgroup of G. Further (cf. [A2], 6.1) a loop X is a Bol loop iff $\epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$ is a Bol loop folder. In that event there is a normal subgroup $\Xi_K(G)$ of G called the *K-radical* of G, and a corresponding normal subloop $\Xi(X)$ of X (which is a group) called the *radical* of X. Moreover if $\Xi_K(G) = 1$ then there is a unique automorphism $\tau = \tau_X$ of G such that $\tau^2 = 1$ and $K \subseteq K(\tau)$, where

$$K(\tau) = \{g \in G : g^\tau = g^{-1}\}.$$

See ([A2], §6) for further discussion.

Next X is an A_r-loop iff H acts on K via conjugation (cf. 4.1 in [A2]). Further X is a Bruck loop iff X is a radical free (ie. $\Xi(X) = 1$) A_r-loop. (cf. 6.7 in [A2])

The material in the remainder of this section is elementary and easy. See, for example, ([Br], IV.1) for further discussion and proofs.

A *normal subloop* of a loop X is the kernel of a loop homomorphism. Further a subloop Y of X is normal iff for all $a, b \in X$,

$$a \circ (Y \circ b) = Y \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ Y) \circ b,$$

in which case the cosets $Y \circ x$, $x \in X$, form the equivalences classes of an equivalence relation (congruence) on X, and we can form the factor loop X/Y on this set of equivalence classes, with multiplication defined by

$$(X \circ a) \circ (X \circ b) = X \circ (a \circ b).$$

Also we obtain the surjective loop homomorphism $\pi : X \to X/Y$ with $x\pi = Y \circ x$ and $\ker(\pi) = Y$. We have the usual facts:

(1.1) *If $\varphi : X \to X'$ is a surjective loop homomorphism with $\ker(\varphi) = Y$ then*
(1) $\psi : X/Y \to X'$ defined by $(Y \circ x)\psi = x\varphi$ is an isomorphism with $\pi\psi = \varphi$.
(2) If $U \trianglelefteq X$ then $U\varphi \trianglelefteq X'$.
(3) If $V \trianglelefteq X'$ then $V\varphi^{-1} \trianglelefteq X$.

Section 2. Normal structure of loops

In this section $\xi = (G, H, K)$ is a loop envelope and $X = l(\xi)$.

(2.1) *Let $\xi_i = (G_i, H_i, K_i)$ be normal subfolders of ξ, set $\xi^i = \xi/\xi_i$, and let $\pi_i : \xi \to \xi^i$ be the natural map of (*[A2]*, 2.6) with* $\ker(\pi_i) = \xi_i$. *Then*
(1) $\xi_{3-i}\pi_i \trianglelefteq \xi^i$.
(2) Let $G_3 = G_1G_2$, $H_3 = H \cap G_3$, and $K_3 = K \cap G_3$. Then $\xi_3 = (G_3, H_3, K_3) \trianglelefteq \xi$ and $\xi_3\pi_i = \xi_{3-i}\pi_i$.
(3) $\xi/\xi_3 \cong \xi^i/\xi_{3-i}\pi_i$.
(4) Let $G_0 = G_1 \cap G_2$, $H_0 = H_1 \cap H_2$, and $K_0 = K_1 \cap K_2$. Then $\xi_0 \trianglelefteq \xi$.
(5) Set $\bar{G} = G/G_0$. Then $\bar{G}_3 = \bar{G}_1 \times \bar{G}_2$, $\bar{H}_3 = \bar{H}_1 \times \bar{H}_2$, and $\bar{K}_3 = \bar{K}_1 \times \bar{K}_2$.
(6) Let $X_i = l(\xi_i)$. Then X_i is normal in X for each i and $X_3/X_0 \cong X_1/X_0 \times X_2/X_0$.

Proof. Let $G^* = G\pi_1$. Then $\xi^1 = (G^*, H^*, K^*)$ and $\xi_2\pi_1 = \xi_2^* = (G_2^*, H_2^*, K_2^*)$. By ([A2], 2.9), $X_i \trianglelefteq X$ and $\psi_i = l(\pi_i) : X \to X^i = X/X_i$ is an isomorphism. As $X_2 \trianglelefteq X$, $X_2\psi_1 \trianglelefteq X^1$ by 1.1, and then by another application of 1.1, the preimage Y of $X_2\psi_1$ in X under ψ_1 is also normal in X. By ([A2], 2.9.3), there is a normal subfolder $\mu = (G_Y, H_Y, K_Y)$ of ξ with $l(\mu) = Y$. As $Y\psi_1 = X_2\psi_1$, $K_Y^* = K_2^*$, so $s_{\xi^*}(K_2^*) = s_{\xi^*}(K_Y^*)$ is a subenvelope of ξ^* and hence as $G_2^* = H_2^*K_2^*$, ξ_2^* is a subfolder of ξ^* by ([A2], 2.1).

Let $k_2 \in K$, $k \in K$, and $g \in G$. As $\xi_2 \trianglelefteq \xi$ the normality condition (NC) from ([A2], §2) is satisfied by ξ_2, so there is $l \in H^g \cap G_2$ and $k_3 \in K$ with $k_2 k = l k_3$. Then $k_2^* k^* = l^* k_3^*$, so ξ_2^* satisfies (NC) in ξ^*, establishing (1).

Let $\alpha : \xi^* \to \xi^*/\xi_2^*$ be the natural map and $\beta = \pi\alpha$. Then $\beta : \xi \to \xi^*/\xi_2^*$ is a surjective morphism with kernel ξ_3, so ξ_3 is a normal subfolder of ξ, establishing (2) and (3).

Let $u, g \in G_0$. Then $u = h_u k_u$ with $h_u \in H^g$ and $k_u \in K$. As ξ_i is a subfolder of ξ for $i = 1, 2$, $h_u \in H_i^g$ and $k_u \in K_i$, so $h_u \in H_1^g \cap H_2^g = H_0^g$ and $k_u \in K_1 \cap K_2 = K_0$, and hence ξ_0 is a subfolder of ξ. Similarly if $k_0 \in K_0$ and $k \in K$ then $k_0 k = l k_4$ for some $l \in H^g$ and $k_4 \in K$, and as $\xi_i \trianglelefteq \xi$ for $i = 1, 2$, $l \in H_i^g$, so $l \in H_0^g$ and hence ξ_0 satisfies (NC) in ξ. This establishes (4).

Of course

$$\bar{G}_3 = \bar{G}_2 \times \bar{G}_2 = \bar{H}_1 \bar{K}_1 \times \bar{H}_2 \bar{K}_2 = (\bar{H}_1 \times \bar{H}_2)(\bar{K}_1 \times \bar{K}_2),$$

with $H_1 H_2 \leq H_3$. Let $a_i \in K_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $a_1 a_2 = hk$, $h \in H_3$, $k \in K_3$. Also $a_2^* = a_1^* a_2^* = h^* k^*$, so $h^* = 1$; that is $h \in H_1$. By symmetry $h \in H_2$, so $\bar{h} = 1$ and hence $\bar{K}_1 \times \bar{K}_2 \subseteq \bar{K}_3$. Therefore $\bar{H}_3 = \bar{H}_1 \times \bar{H}_3$ and $\bar{K}_3 = \bar{K}_1 \times \bar{K}_2$, establishing (5).

Finally, applying the functor l to (5), we obtain (6).

(2.2) *Assume X is finite and π is a set of primes. Then*

(1) X has a largest normal π-subloop $O_\pi(X)$.

(2) There is a normal subfolder $\xi_\pi = (G_\pi, H_\pi, K_\pi)$ of ξ such that $l(\xi_\pi) = O_\pi(X)$.

Proof. Part (2) is a consequence of (1) and ([A2], 2.9.3). By 2.1.6, if X_1 and X_2 are normal π-subloops of X then there is a normal π-subloop X_3 of X containing X_1 and X_2, so (1) holds.

Section 3. Radical free Bol loops.

In this section X is a radical free Bol loop and $\xi = \epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$. Adopt Notation 6.3 from [A2], and assume:

Hypothesis 3.1. M^+ is a maximal subgroup of G^+ containing $H\langle\tau\rangle$ and J^+ is a normal subgroup of G^+ contained in M^+. Set $G^{+*} = G^+/J^+$, $K_M = K \cap M^+$, and $\Lambda_M = \tau K_M$. Let $\Delta = G^+/M^+$ and represent G^+ on Δ via right multiplication.

(3.2) *(1) $|K| = |\Lambda|$ and $|K_M| = |\Lambda_M|$.*

(2) $\Lambda_M = \Lambda \cap M^+$.

(3) $|\Lambda| = |G : M||\Lambda_M|$.

(4) Let λ_i^*, $1 \leq i \leq r$ be representatives for the orbits of G^* on Λ^*, $m_i = |\lambda_i^{*G^*}|$, $n_i = |\Lambda \cap \lambda_i J^+|$, and $n_0 = |\Lambda \cap J^+|$. Then

$$|\Lambda| = n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i m_i.$$

(5) If $|G^+ : M^+|$ is odd then $n_0 = 0$ and each member of Λ fixes a unique point of Δ.

Proof. As the map $k \mapsto \tau k$ is a bijection of K with Λ and $\tau \in M^+$, (1) and (2) hold. The proof of (3) is straightforward and is the same as that of 12.5.1 in [A2]. Similarly the proofs of (4) and (5) are essentially the same as those of parts (2) and (3) of ([A2], 12.5), but we repeat the details for completeness:

Let $\Lambda_i = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \lambda^* \in \lambda_i^{*G}\}$ and $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap J^+$. Then $\{\Lambda_i : 0 \leq i \leq r\}$ is a partition of Λ with $|\Lambda_0| = n_0$ and $|\Lambda_i| = n_i m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus (4) holds.

Finally assume $\alpha = |G^+ : M^+|$ is odd. Then by Sylow's Theorem we may choose $\lambda_i \in M^+$. Set

$$t_i = |\lambda_i^{*G} \cap M^{+*}|.$$

Then arguing as in the proof of (4),

$$|\Lambda_M| = n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i t_i. \tag{*}$$

Therefore by (3), (4), and (*),

$$n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i m_i = |\Lambda| = \alpha|\Lambda_M| = \alpha n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha n_i t_i,$$

so

$$(\alpha - 1)n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i(\alpha t_i - m_i) = 0. \tag{**}$$

We next claim:

(!) For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\alpha t_i \geq m_i$, with equality iff each $\lambda \in \Lambda_i$ fixes a unique point of Δ.

Namely each $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_i^*$ is in some conjugate M^{+*g} of M^{+*}, and $|M^{+*g} \cap \Lambda_i| = t_i$, so as

$$|M^{+*G}| = |G^+ : N_G(M^+)| = |G : M^+| = \alpha,$$

$m_i = |\Lambda_i^*| \leq \alpha t_i$ with equality iff each λ^* is contained in a unique conjugate of M^{+*} iff λ fixes a unique point of Δ.

Finally by (!):

(!!) $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i(\alpha t_i - m_i) \geq 0$ with equality iff each $\lambda \in \Lambda - \Lambda_0$ fixes a unique point of Δ.

As $\alpha > 1$ we conclude from (**) and (!!) that (5) holds.

(3.3) *Adopt the notation of 3.2, assume* $1 \leq n_0$ *is a power of* 2*, and* p *is an odd prime such that* $m_i \equiv 0 \mod p$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq r$*. Then* H *contains a Sylow* p*-subgroup of* G*.*

Proof. By 3.2.4, $|\Lambda| \equiv n_0 \mod p$, so as $1 \leq n_0$ is a power of 2 and p is odd, $|\Lambda|$ is relatively prime to p. Thus as $|G : H| = |\Lambda|$, the lemma follows.

Section 4. The proof of Theorem 2

In this section X is a Bruck loop and $u, v \in X$.

(4.1) *(1) The map $x \mapsto R(x)$ is an isomorphism of $\langle v \rangle$ with $\langle R(v) \rangle$.*
(2) For all integers m, n, $(u \circ v^m) \circ v^n = u \circ v^{m+n}$.

Proof. Part (1) is well known (cf. [A2], 6.8), and (2) is just a restatement of (1).

(4.2) $(u \circ v)^2 = (v \circ u^2) \circ v$.

Proof. This appears in Lemma 1 in [G2], but we supply a proof for completeness. Let $w \in X$ and set $z = w^{-1} \circ x^{-1}$. Since X has the AIP, using 4.1.2:

$$z \circ (w \circ x)^2 = (w \circ x)^{-1} \circ (w \circ x)^2 = w \circ x.$$

Next

$$w \circ x = w^{-1} R(x^{-1}) R(x) R(w^2) R(x) = z R(x) R(w^2) R(x) = z R((x \circ w^2) \circ x),$$

using 4.1 and the Bol identity (Bol2). Thus $z \circ (w \circ x)^2 = z \circ ((x \circ w^2) \circ x)$, and cancelling z, we obtain the lemma.

(4.3) *Assume k is a positive integer such that u^{2^j} commutes with $v^{2^{j-1}}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $(u \circ v)^{2^i} = u^{2^i} \circ v^{2^i}$ for each $0 \leq i \leq k$.*

Proof. The lemma is trivial if $i = 0$. When $i = 1$, 4.1.2 and 4.2 say

$$(u \circ v)^2 = (v \circ u^2) \circ v = (u^2 \circ v) \circ v = u^2 \circ v^2.$$

Finally complete the proof by induction on i, using the validity of the lemma at $i = 1$.

(4.4) *If $v \in \langle u \circ v \rangle$ then $R(u) R(v) = R(u \circ v) = R(v \circ u) = R(v) R(u)$.*

Proof. As $v \in \langle u \circ v \rangle$, $u = (u \circ v) \circ v^{-1} \in \langle u \circ v \rangle$ by 4.1.2. Then the lemma follows from 4.1.1.

With these lemmas in hand, we can prove Theorem 2. Let $x, y \in X$ with $|x| = 2^n$ and $|y|$ odd. We prove

$$(*) \qquad R(x) R(y) = R(x \circ y) = R(y \circ x) = R(y) R(x)$$

by induction on n. Observe (*) implies $x \circ y = y \circ x$ as R is injective.

When $n = 0$, (*) is trivial. Assume $n > 0$ and (*) holds for $i < n$. Then as $|x^2| = 2^{n-1}$, each element of $\langle x^2 \rangle$ commutes with each element of $\langle y \rangle$ by the induction assumption. Therefore by 4.3, $(x \circ y)^{2^n} = x^{2^n} \circ y^{2^n} = y^{2^n}$, so $y^{2^n} \in \langle x \circ y \rangle$. Then as $|y|$ is odd, $y \in \langle x \circ y \rangle$, so (*) holds by 4.4.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Section 5. Bruck loops

(5.1) *Let* X *be a loop with envelope* $\xi = (G, H, K)$*. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) X *is a Bruck loop.*

(2) X *is an* A_r*-loop and* X *is radical free.*

(3) H *acts via conjugation on* K *and* $\Xi_K(G) = 1$.

(4) $\Xi_K(G) = 1$ *and* $H \leq C_G(\tau_X)$.

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are equivalent by ([A2], 6.6). Assume (2). Then X is radical free, so $\Xi_K(G) = 1$ by definition. As X is an A_r-loop, H acts on K by 4.1 in [A2], so (3) holds. The proof of 6.7 in [A2] shows that (3) implies (4). Finally the proof of (4) in 6.6 of [A2] shows that (4) implies X is an A_r-loop; thus (4) implies (2).

A loop folder $\xi = (G, H, K)$ is a *Bruck loop folder* if ξ is a Bol loop folder, $\Xi_K(\langle K\rangle) = 1$, and H acts on K via conjugation.

In the remainder of the section assume $\xi = (G, H, K)$ is a finite Bruck loop folder. We adopt the following notational conventions:

Notation 5.2. As $\Xi_K(\langle K\rangle) = 1$, from ([A2], 5.1.3c), there is a unique automorphism $\tau = \tau_\xi$ of $\langle K\rangle$ such that $\tau^2 = 1$ and $K \subseteq K(\tau)$. As H acts on K, $H \cap \langle K\rangle$ centralizes τ by the uniqueness of τ. As ξ is a loop folder, K is a set of coset representatives for H in G, so as τ centralizes $H \cap \langle K\rangle$ there is a unique extension of τ to G defined by $\tau : hk \mapsto hk^\tau$ for $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. Form the semidirect product $G^+ = G\langle\tau\rangle$ of G by τ and let $\Lambda = \tau K \subseteq G^+$. By ([A2], 5.1), Λ is $\langle K\rangle$-invariant, so as H centralizes τ and acts on K, and as $G = HK$, Λ is also G-invariant.

Let $G^+_\tau = C_{G^+}(\tau)$, $G_\tau = C_G(\tau)$, and $K_\tau = C_K(\tau)$. Set $\xi_\tau = (G_\tau, H, K_\tau)$.

For $U \subseteq H$, let $K_U = C_K(U)$, $G_U = N_G(U)$, $H_U = N_H(U)$, and $\xi_U = (G_U, H_U, K_U)$.

(5.3) *(1)* ξ *is an* A_r*-loop folder; that is* H *acts on* K *via conjugation.*

(2) For each $k \in K$, $H \cap H^k = C_H(k)$.

(3) H *controls* G*-fusion in* H.

(4) If $\mu = (G_\mu, H_\mu, K_\mu)$ *is a subfolder of* ξ *then* μ *is a Bruck loop folder,* τ *acts on* G_μ*, and* $\tau_\mu = \tau_{|G_\mu}$.

(5) Suppose $\pi : \xi \to \eta = (G_\eta, H_\eta, K_\eta)$ *is a surjective homomorphism of loop folders, and let* $\xi_0 = (G_0, H_0, K_0) = \ker(\pi)$*. Then* τ *acts on* G_0*,* η *is a Bruck loop folder,* $\tau_\eta = \tau'$*, where* $\tau' : G_\eta \to G_\eta$ *is defined by* $\tau' : g\pi \mapsto g\tau\pi$*, and* τ' *is the unique* $\tau^* : G_\eta \to G_\eta$ *such that* $\tau\pi = \pi\tau^*$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from the definition of Bruck folders. Then (1) and ([A2], 4.3) imply (2) and (3).

Assume the hypotheses of (4). Then $K_\mu \subseteq K \subseteq K(\tau)$, so τ acts on K_μ and $H_\tau \leq H \leq C_G(\tau)$, so τ acts on H_μ. Therefore τ acts on $G_\mu = H_\mu K_\mu$. By ([A2], 6.2), μ is a Bol loop folder, and by construction $H_\mu \subseteq C_{G_\mu}(\tau)$ and $K_\mu \subseteq K(\tau)$, so by ([A2], 5.2), $\tau_{|\langle K_\mu\rangle} = \tau_{\langle K_\mu\rangle}$, completing the proof of (4).

Finally assume the hypotheses of (5). Then ξ is a normal subfolder of ξ, so τ acts on G_0 by (4) and hence induces $\tau' : G_\eta \to G_\eta$ defined by $\tau' : g\pi \mapsto g\tau\pi$; further τ' is the unique map $\tau^* : G_\eta \to G_\eta$ such that $\tau\pi = \pi\tau^*$. As $\pi : K \to K_\eta$ is surjective and

$K \subseteq K(\tau)$, this implies $K_\eta \subseteq K(\tau')$, and similarly τ' centralizes H_η, so by ([A2], 5.2), $\Xi_{K_\eta}(\langle K_\eta \rangle) = 1$ and $\tau' = \tau_\eta$. Thus (5) holds.

(5.4) *(1) ξ_τ is a Bruck loop folder.*
(2) $X_\tau = l(\xi_\tau)$ is of exponent 2.
(3) K_τ is G_τ-invariant and $\Lambda_\tau = C_\Lambda(\tau) = \tau K_\tau$.

Proof. By ([A2], 6.6.5), ξ_τ is a subfolder of ξ and (2) holds. Then (1) follows from 5.3.4. For $g \in G_\tau$ and $k \in K_\tau$, $k = \tau\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_\tau$, so $k^g = \tau\lambda^g \in \tau\Lambda_\tau = K_\tau$ as Λ is G-invariant, so K_τ is G_τ-invariant. Thus (3) holds.

(5.5) *Let $U \subseteq H$ and $X = l(\xi)$. Then*
(1) ξ_U is a Bruck loop folder.
(2) $X_U = Fix_X(U)$ is a Bruck subloop of X with $l(\xi_U) = X_U$.
(3) $K_U = N_K(U)$.
(4) $\langle K_U \rangle$ is transitive on X_U.
(5) $\Lambda_U = N_\Lambda(U) = C_\Lambda(U) = \tau K_U$.
(6) If $h \in H$ and $h^2 \neq 1$ then h is not inverted by any member of Λ. In particular τ inverts no conjugate of h.

Proof. By 5.3.1, ξ is an A_r-loop folder, so by ([A2], 4.3.3), ξ_U is a subfolder of ξ. Thus (1) follows from 5.3.4. By parts (1) and (2) of ([A2], 4.3), X_U is a subloop of X with $l(\xi_U) = X_U$. Then as ξ_U is a Bruck folder, X_U is a Bruck loop, so (2) holds. Parts (3) and (4) follow from parts (4) and (6) of ([A2], 4.3).

Next $N_\Lambda(U) = \tau N_K(U) = \tau K_U$, so (5) follows from (3). Then (6) follows from (5).

(5.6) *Assume ξ is an envelope, $Q \leq G$ with $Q \trianglelefteq G^+$, and set $G^{+*} = G^+/Q$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) $|k^*|$ is odd for each $k \in K$.
(2) $|\tau^*\lambda^*|$ is odd for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
(3) $|G^*|$ is odd.

Proof. As $K = \tau\Lambda$, (1) and (2) are equivalent. Trivially (3) implies (2). Finally if (2) holds then $\Lambda^* = \tau^{*G}$ and by Glauberman's Z^*-Theorem [G1], $G^* = \langle K^* \rangle = \langle \tau^*\Lambda^* \rangle$ is of odd order.

Recall that a loop X is a 2-*loop* if $|X|$ is a power of 2, and X is a $2'$-*loop* if $|X|$ is odd.

(5.7) *Assume ξ is an envelope and set $X = l(\xi)$. Then*
(1) The following are equivalent:
  (a) X is a 2-loop.
  (b) G is a 2-group.
  (c) $\alpha\beta$ is a 2-element for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$.
(2) The following are equivalent:
  (a) X is a $2'$-loop.
  (b) $|G|$ is odd.
  (c) $|k|$ is odd for all $k \in K$.

Proof. As $|X| = |K| = |G : H|$, (b) implies (a) and (c) in (1) and (2).

Assume X is a 2-loop. Then $|G : H|$ is a power of 2, so for each odd prime p and each element g of order p in G, g is conjugate to an element of H. Thus no member of Λ inverts g by 5.5.6. Hence by the Baer-Suzuki Theorem (cf. [FGT], 39.6), $\Lambda \subseteq O_2(G^+)$, so $K = \tau\Lambda \subseteq O_2(G)$. Therefore $G = \langle K \rangle$ is a 2-group. Similarly if (1c) holds then no member of Λ inverts a nontrivial element of odd order, so the same argument shows G is a 2-group, completing the proof of (1).

Assume X is a $2'$-loop. As each $k \in K^{\#}$ is fixed point free on X, while $\langle k \rangle \subseteq K$ by ([A2], 5.1), k is semiregular on X, so $|k|$ divides $|X|$ and hence $|k|$ is odd. Therefore (2a) implies (2c), while (2c) implies (2b) by 5.6, completing the proof of (2).

(5.8) *Let L be a group of odd order and t an involutory automorphism of L. Then $\mu = (L, C_L(t), K_L(t))$ is a Bruck loop folder, where $K_L(t) = \{l \in L : l^t = l^{-1}\}$.*

Proof. Let $C = C_L(t)$ and $K = K_L(t)$. The map $\sigma : Cg \mapsto [t, g]$ is a well defined injection of L/C into K. Further as $|L|$ is odd, for $k \in K$, $tk \in t^L$, so $k \in tt^L \subseteq \sigma(L/C)$. Thus σ is a bijection so $|L : C| = |K|$. Finally if $a, b, c \in t^G$ with $1 \neq x = ab \in C_G(c)$ then $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle c \rangle$ are Sylow in the normalizer of $X = \langle x \rangle$, so there is $g \in N_G(X)$ with $a^g = c$ by Sylow's Theorem. This is impossible as a inverts X, while c centralizes X. Thus μ is a Bol loop folder and $\Xi_K(\langle K \rangle) = 1$ by the equivalence of parts (1) and (6) of ([A2], 6.4). Then by construction, μ is a Bruck folder.

(5.9) *Assume $G_0 \trianglelefteq G$, set $G^* = G/G_0$, and assume $|k^*|$ is odd for each $k \in K$. Let $H_0 = H \cap G_0$, $K_0 = K \cap G_0$, $\xi_0 = (G_0, H_0, K_0)$, and $\pi : G \to G^*$ the natural map. Then*

(1) G^* is of odd order.

(2) ξ_0 is a normal subfolder of ξ and $\pi : \xi \to \xi/\xi_0 = (G^*, H^*, K^*)$ is a surjective morphism of loop folders with $\xi_0 = \ker(\pi)$.

(3) $l(\xi)/l(\xi_0) \cong l(\xi/\xi_0)$ is a $2'$-loop.

Proof. Part (3) follows from (1), (2), ([A2], 2.7), and 5.7.2; part (1) follows from 5.6.

Let t be the involutory automorphism of G^* induced by τ as in 5.3.5, and $K_t = K_{G^*}(t)$. By 5.8, $\xi^* = (G^*, C_{G^*}(t), K_t)$ is a Bruck loop folder. Further $H^* \leq C_{G^*}(t)$, $K^* \subseteq K_t$, and $G^* = H^*K^*$, so it follows that $H^* = C_{G^*}(t)$ and $K^* = K_t$. Thus $\xi^* = (G^*, H^*, K^*)$ is a loop folder and $\pi : \xi \to \xi^*$ is a surjective morphism of folders, so $\xi_0 = \ker(\pi)$ is a normal subloop of ξ and $\xi^* = l(\xi)/l(\xi_0)$ by definition of the notation in ([A2], 2.6). That is (2) holds.

(5.10) *Assume $|G|$ is odd and let $X = l(\xi)$. Then*

(1) $\Lambda = \tau^G$, $H = C_G(\tau)$, and $K = K(\tau)$.

(2) The map $\varphi : J \mapsto (J, C_J(\tau), K_J(\tau))$ is a bijection between the set $\mathcal{J}$ of τ-invariant subgroups J of G and the set $\mathcal{F}$ of subfolders of ξ.

(3) Under the bijection φ, normal subgroups of G correspond to normal subfolders of ξ.

(4) The map $Y \mapsto \langle \kappa(Y) \rangle$ is a bijection between the set of subloops of X and the set $\mathcal{L}$ of $L \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $L = [L, \tau]$.

(5) G and X are solvable.

Proof. The proof of 5.9 in the special case where $G_0 = 1$ shows that (1) holds.

By 5.8, φ is a map from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{F}$, and by construction, φ is injective. If $\mu = (J, H_J, K_J) \in \mathcal{F}$ then J is τ-invariant and μ is a Bruck folder with $\tau_\mu = \tau_{|J}$ by 5.3.4. Thus $H_J = C_J(\tau)$ and $K_J = K_J(\tau)$ by (1). Hence φ is a surjection, completing the proof of (2). If $J \trianglelefteq G$, then $\varphi(J) \trianglelefteq \xi$ by 5.9, so (3) also holds.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of subloops of X and ψ the map in (4). (cf. Convention 1.9 in [A2] for the definition of κ.) Then ψ is an injection from $\mathcal{Y}$ into $\mathcal{L}$. Further if $L \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\varphi(L) \in \mathcal{F}$, so $\phi(L) = l(\varphi(L)) \in \mathcal{Y}$, and hence ϕ is a map from $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. Next $\kappa(Y) = K \cap \psi(Y)$, so $\kappa(Y)$ is the set of translations of $\varphi(\psi(Y))$, and hence $Y = l(\varphi(\psi(Y))) = \phi(\psi(Y))$. Similarly $K_L(\tau_{|L}) = \kappa(\phi(L))$, so $L = \psi(\phi(L))$, completing the proof of (4).

By the Odd Order Theorem [FT], G is solvable. Thus a minimal normal subgroup L of G is an elementary abelian p-group for some prime p. By (3), $\varphi(L) \triangleleft \xi$, so by ([A2], 2.9), $\phi(L) \trianglelefteq X$. As L is abelian, $H \cap L \trianglelefteq L$, so $\phi(L) \cong L/H \cap L$ by ([A], 2.10). By induction on the order of X, $X/\phi(X)$ is solvable, so X is solvable.

(5.11) $D(G) = \langle K \rangle \trianglelefteq G$.

Proof. This holds as $G = HK$ and H acts on K via conjugation.

(5.12) *If* $X = O_2(X) \times O(X)$ *then* X *is solvable.*

Proof. By 5.10.5, $O(X)$ is solvable, while by ([A2], 7.4) and 5.7.1, $O_2(X)$ is solvable.

(5.13) *The following are equivalent:*
(1) X *is a* 2*-element loop.*
(2) k *is a* 2*-element for each* $k \in K$.
(3) $\tau \in O_2(G^+)$.

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are equivalent by 4.1.1. If (2) holds then $\tau\tau^g$ is a 2-element for each $g \in G$, so (3) holds by the Baer-Suzuki Theorem (cf. [FGT], 39.6). Conversely if (3) holds then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\tau\lambda \in \langle \lambda \rangle O_2(G^+)$, so $k = \tau\lambda$ is a 2-element; that is (3) implies (2).

Section 6. The proof of Theorem 1

In this section we establish Theorem 1. Thus we assume X is a finite Bruck loop and we set $\xi = \epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$.

Let X_r be the set of r-elements of X for $r \in \{2, 2'\}$, $K_r = R(X_r)$, and $G_r = \langle K_r \rangle$.

(6.1) *For each* $x \in X$, $x = x_2 \circ x_{2'}$ *with* $x_r \in X_r \cap \langle x \rangle$, *and this expression is unique.*
(2) $R(x) = R(x_2)R(x_{2'})$ *with* $R(x_r) \in K_r$.
(3) $G = G_2 * G_{2'}$ *is the central product of* G_2 *and* $G_{2'}$*; that is* $G = G_2G_{2'}$ *and* $[G_2, G_{2'}] = 1$.
(4) $O^{2'}(X) \trianglelefteq X$.
(5) $G_{2'} \leq O(G)$.
(6) $X_{2'} = O^2(X) = O(X) \trianglelefteq X$ *and* $X/O(X)$ *is a* 2*-element loop.*

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) follows from 4.1.1 and the corresponding statement for groups. Then (3) follows from (1), (2), and Theorem 2.

By (3), $G_2 \trianglelefteq G$. Let $G^* = G/G_2$, $J_r = K \cap G_r$, and $Y = R^{-1}(J_2)$. For $R(x) \in J_2$, $R(x) = R(y_1) \cdots R(y_n)$ with each $y_i \in X_2$. Applying both sides to $1 \in X$ shows that $x \in \langle X_2 \rangle = O^{2'}(X)$, and so $Y = O^{2'}(X)$.

Each element of K^* is of odd order, so 5.9 tells us that G^* is of odd order and $Y = O^{2'}(X)$ is a normal subloop of X. Let $L = G_{2'}$ and $U = L \cap G_2$. Then $L^* \cong L/U$ is of odd order, and hence solvable by the Odd Order Theorem [FT]. Also $U \leq Z(L)$ by (3), so L is solvable and hence $L = L_0 U$, where L_0 is a Hall $2'$-subgroup of L by Phillip Hall's Theorem 18.5 in [FGT]. Then as $U \leq Z(L)$, $L = O^2(L) = L_0$ is of odd order, establishing (5).

By 5.8, $\xi_{2'} = (L, C_L(\tau), K_{2'})$ is a subfolder of ξ, so by ([A2], 1.9), $X_{2'} = R^{-1}(K_{2'})$ is a subloop of X. Then by definition, $X_{2'} = O^2(X)$ Let $u \in K_{2'}$ and $v \in K$. By (2), $v = ba$ with $b \in K_{2'}$ and $a \in K_2$, so $uv = uba$. As $\xi_{2'}$ is a subfolder, $ub = hb'$ with $h \in C_L(\tau)$ and $b' \in K_{2'}$. By Theorem 2, $b'a = k' \in K$, so $uv = hv'$; that is the normality condition (NC) of ([A2], §2) is satisfied. Hence (6) follows from ([A2], 2.9).

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1. We apply 2.1 to $G_{2'}$ and G_2 in the roles of the groups "G_1" and "G_2" in that lemma. From the proof of 6.1, the subfolders $\xi_r = (G_r, H \cap G_r, J_r)$ are normal with $J_{2'} = O(X)$ and $J_2 = O^{2'}(X)$. By 6.1.3, $G = G_1 G_2 = G_3$. Thus by 2.1, $\bar{G} = \bar{G}_1 \times \bar{G}_2$ and $X/X_0 \cong X_1/X_0 \times X_2/X_0 = O^{2'}(X)/X_0 \times O(X)/X_0$. Also $X_0 = R^{-1}(J)$, were $J = K_{2'} \cap G_2$. By 6.1.3, $J \leq Z(G)$. For $k \in K$, $k = ba$ with $a \in K_2$, $b \in K_{2'}$. As τ inverts j and b and $j \in Z(G)$, τ inverts jb, so $jb \in K_{2'}$ by 5.8. Thus $jk = jba \in K$ by Theorem 2. Hence $jK \subseteq K$, so $R^{-1}(j) \in Z(X)$; that is $X_0 \leq Z(X)$.

We have established the first four statements in Theorem 1, so it remains to establish the fifth. Thus we may assume X is solvable. Moreover we assume X is a counterexample of minimal order to part (5) of Theorem 1. Therefore $O^{2'}(X) \neq O_2(X)$, so $O^{2'}(X)$ is not a 2-loop. Also each proper section Y of X is solvable, so by minimality of X, $Y = O_2(Y) \times O(Y)$ and $G_Y = O_2(G_Y) \times O(G_Y)$, where G_Y is the enveloping group of Y. In particular $X = O^{2'}(X)$ is not a 2-loop and $G = O^{2'}(G)$ is not a 2-group.

Suppose $O(X) \neq 1$. By minimality of X, $Y = X/O(X)$ is a 2-loop and G_Y is a 2-group. Let $U = R(O(X))$ and $G^* = G/U$. As $O(X) \leq Z(X)$, $\xi_0 = (U, 1, U)$ is a normal subfolder of ξ, $\xi^* = (G^*, H^*, K^*) = \xi/\xi_0$, and $Y \cong l(\xi/\xi_0)$, so $G_Y = G^*/\ker_{H^*}(G^*)$. By 3.3.2, $\ker_{H^*}(G^*) \leq Z(G^*)$, so as G_Y is a 2-group and $U \leq Z(G)$, G is solvable and $G = TZ(G)$ for $T \in Syl_2(G)$ by coprime action (cf. [FGT], 18.7.4). Thus $G = O^{2'}(G) = T$, contradicting G not a 2-group.

Let Y be a maximal normal subloop of X. As $O(X) = 1$ and $Y = O_2(Y) \times O(Y)$ with $O(Y) = O^2(Y) \leq O^2(X) = O(X)$, Y is a 2-loop. As X is a solvable 2-element loop and Y a maximal normal subloop of X, $X/Y \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$. Thus $|X| = 2|Y|$ is a power of 2, so X is a 2-loop, for our final contradiction.

Section 7. The proof of Theorem 3.

In this section we establish Theorem 3.

Assume X is an M-loop and let $\xi = \epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$.

(7.1) *(1) X is simple.*
(2) $O(X) = O_2(X) = 1$.
(3) X is a 2-element loop.
(4) Theorem 3 holds if X is of exponent 2.

Proof. If Y is a proper nontrivial normal subloop of X then Y and X/Y are proper sections of X, and hence are solvable; but then X is also solvable, contradicting the hypothesis that X is an M-loop. Therefore (1) holds. Then (2) follows from (1) and 5.12, and (3) follows from (2) and Theorem 1. Finally if X is of exponent 2 then X is an N-loop, as defined in [A2], so Theorem 3 holds in this case by the Main Theorem of [A2].

By 7.1.3, X is a 2-element loop. We can repeat many of the lemmas from ([A2], §12), proved there under the stronger hypothesis that X is of exponent 2. By 7.1.4, we may assume X is not of exponent 2. Adopt notation 5.2, and for $U \leq G$ set $D(U) = \langle K \cap U \rangle$. For $U \leq H$, let $D_U = D(G_U)$.

(7.2) *Assume p is an odd prime divisor of $|H|$ and let $1 \neq P$ be a p-subgroup of H. Then*
(1) H contains a Sylow p-subgroup of H.
(2) No member of Λ inverts an element of order p.
(3) $|N_G(P) : N_H(P)|$ is a power of 2.

Proof. As ξ_P is a proper subfolder, $G_P = H_P D_P$, and D_P is a 2-group as X is a 2-element M-loop. Thus (3) holds. Then (3) implies (1), while (1) and 5.5.6 imply (2).

(7.3) *$|G : H|$ is not a power of 2.*

Proof. By 7.1.2, G is not a 2-group, so as $|X| = |G : H|$, the lemma follows from 5.7.1.

During the remainder of this section we work in the following setup:

Hypothesis 7.4. M^+ is a maximal overgroup of $\langle \tau \rangle H$ in G^+. Set $M = M^+ \cap G$, $J^+ = \ker_{M^+}(G^+)$, $K_M = K \cap M^+$, $\Lambda_M = \tau K_M$, $D = D(M)$, and $G^{+*} = G^+/J^+$.

(7.5) *(1) Hypothesis 3.1 is satisfied.*
(2) $O_2(G)\langle \tau \rangle = O_2(G^+) \leq J$.
(3) $|G^+ : M|$ is even.

Proof. Visibly Hypothesis 7.4 implies Hypothesis 3.1, so (1) holds. As X is a 2-element loop, $\tau \in O_2(G^+)$ by 5.13, so (2) holds. Finally $\tau \in \Lambda \cap J$ by (2), so (1) and 3.2.5 imply (3).

(7.6) *(1) $M = HD$ and D is a 2-group.*
(2) $|\Lambda_M| = |M : H|$ is a power of 2.
(3) $|G : M|$ is even but not a power of 2.
(4) M and H are not 2-groups.
(5) $D \trianglelefteq G$, $H^* = M^*$, and $K^* \cap M^* = 1$.
(6) Let N be the preimage in G of $F^*(G^*)$. Then $G = HN$.

(7) J is a 2-group.

Proof. The proofs of (1)-(4) are the same as that of the corresponding parts of ([A2], 12.6). Similarly if $D \trianglelefteq G$ then the proof of ([A2], 12.6.5) shows that (5) holds, so suppose D is not normal in G. Recall $D \trianglelefteq M^+$ by 5.11, so $M^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$ by maximality of M^+. Next let $D^+ = D\langle\tau\rangle$; thus $D = D^+ \cap G$ and $D^+ = \langle\Lambda_M\rangle$, with $\Lambda_M = \Lambda \cap M$. Thus $D^+ = \langle N_\Lambda(D^+)\rangle$, so taking $D^+ \leq T^+ \in Syl_2(G^+)$, $D^+ = \langle\Lambda \cap N_{T^+}(D^+)\rangle$ and hence $D^+ = \langle T^+ \cap \Lambda\rangle$, so $T^+ \leq N_G(D^+) \leq M^+$, contrary to (3).

Now parts (6) and (7) follows as in the proof of the corresponding parts of ([A2], 12.6).

(7.7) *Suppose $1 \neq U^* \leq H^*$ is a p-group for some odd prime p.*
(1) H contains a Sylow p-group of the preimage of U^* in G^*.
(2) $N_{G^*}(U^*) = N_G(P)^* - N_H(P)^*D(C_G(P^*))$.
(3) The triple G^*, M^*, K^* satisfies Hypothesis N of ([A2]*, §10).*
(4) $K^* = \Lambda^*$.

Proof. The proofs of (1)-(3) are the same as those of the corresponding parts of ([A2], 12.7). Use 3.3 in proving (3). Note as $\tau \in J$, $K^* = \Lambda^*$ is a union of conjugacy classes of involutions of G^*.

(7.8) *$F^*(G^*)$ is a nonabelian simple group and $G^* = F^*(G^*)H^*$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of ([A2], 12.8).

Theorem 7.9. *(1) $G^* \cong PGL_2(q)$ with $q = 2^n + 1$, H^* is a Borel subgroup of G^*, and $K^{*\#}$ consists of the involutions in $G^* - F^*(G^*)$.*
(2) $|K^{*\#}| = m = q(q-1)/2$ and $|G : M| = q + 1$.
(3) Let $n_0 = |K \cap J|$ and $n_1 = |K \cap aJ|$ for $a \in K - J$. Then $n_0 = |M : H| = |D : D \cap H|$ is a power of 2, $n_0 = n_1 2^{n-1}$, and $|X| = |K| = (q+1)n_0 = n_1 2^n(2^{n-1} + 1)$.
(4) $F^*(G) = J = O_2(G)$.

Proof. The proofs of (1)-(3) are the same as the corresponding parts of ([A2], 12.9). Note that since $\tau \in J^+$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda - J^+$, $\lambda = \tau a$ for some $a \in K - J^+$ and the map $k \mapsto \tau k$ is a bijection of $K \cap aJ^+$ with $\lambda J^+ \cap \Lambda$.

By 5.4, $G_\tau = HD_\tau$, where $D_\tau = D(G_\tau)$ and X_τ is of exponent 2. As we are assuming that X is not of exponent 2, $X_\tau \neq X$, so X_τ is a 2-loop, and hence D_τ is a 2-group. Thus each subgroup of G_τ of odd order is fused into H under D_τ. However if (4) fails then as $\tau \in J^+ = O_2(G^+)$, $G^+ = LJ^+$, where $L = E(G)$, and τ centralizes L. But then L centralizes D_τ, so each subgroup of L of odd order is contained in H. Therefore $E = O^2(E) \leq H$, so $E \leq \ker_H(G) = 1$, a contradiction.

Observe that 7.1 and Theorem 7.9 establish Theorem 3.

Section 8. The proof of Corollary 4

In this section we prove Corollary 4. Thus we assume X is a Bol loop which is also an A_r-loop. Let $\xi = \epsilon(X) = (G, H, K)$, $G^* = G/\Xi_K(G)$, and $\hat{G} = G^*/\ker_{H^*}(G^*)$.

(8.1) *(1)* $\xi_\Xi = (\Xi_K(G), 1, \Xi_K(G))$ *is a normal subfolder of* G.

(2) $\Xi(X)$ *is a normal subloop of* X.

(3) $\Xi(X)$ *is isomorphic to the group* $\Xi_K(G)$.

(4) $X/\Xi(X) \cong l(G^*, H^*, K^*)$.

(5) There is a unique automorphism τ *of* G^* *with* $\tau^2 = 1$ *and* $K^* \subseteq K(\tau)$.

(6) $H^* \leq C_{G^*}(\tau)$.

(7) $\epsilon(X/\Xi(X)) \cong (\hat{G}, \hat{H}, \hat{K})$.

(8) $X/\Xi(X)$ *is a Bruck loop.*

(9) $\ker_{H^*}(G^*) \leq Z(G^*)$.

Proof. Parts (1)-(4) are the corresponding parts of ([A2], 6.5). Then (7) is a consequence of (4) and ([A2], 2.9.2). Part (5) follows from ([A2], 5.1.3). As X is an A_r-loop, H acts on K via conjugation by ([A2], 4.1), so H^* acts on K^*. Therefore (6) follows from the uniqueness of τ in (5). Thus τ acts on $\ker_{H^*}(G^*)$ and hence induces an automorphism $\hat{\tau}$ of $\hat{G}$ centralizing $\hat{H}$ with $\hat{K} \subseteq K(\hat{\tau})$. Therefore $\Xi_{\hat{K}}(\hat{G}) = 1$ by ([A2], 5.1.3). Then (8) follows from this fact and the fact that $\hat{\tau}$ centralizes $\hat{H}$, given the equivalence of parts (1) and (4) of 5.1. Finally (9) follows from ([A2], 4.3.4).

Assume X is solvable. Then $\Xi(X)$ is solvable, so the subgroup $\Xi_K(G)$ is also solvable by 8.1.3. Next by 8.1.8, $X/\Xi(X)$ is a Bruck loop, and solvable as X is solvable. Then by Theorem 1 and the Odd Order Theorem [FT], the enveloping group $\bar{G}$ of $X/\Xi(X)$ is solvable, and by 8.1.7, $\bar{G} \cong \hat{G}$. Then appealing to 8.1.9, G is solvable.

Thus to complete the proof of Corollary 3 it remains to show that X is solvable if G is solvable. Assume otherwise and choose a counter example X of minimal order.

As G is solvable, so are $\Xi_K(G)$ and $\hat{G}$. Hence $\Xi(X)$ is solvable by 8.1.3. Further by 8.1.8, $X/\Xi(X)$ is a Bruck loop, and by 8.1.7, $\hat{G}$ is its enveloping group. Thus if $\Xi(X) \neq 1$ then $X/\Xi(X)$ is solvable by minimality of X, so X is solvable, contrary to the choice of X. Therefore X is radical free and a Bruck loop.

Next if Y is a proper section of X, then by ([A2], 2.9), the enveloping group of Y is a section of G, and hence is solvable. Therefore by minimality of X, Y is solvable. Thus X is an M-loop. But now Theorem 3 supplies a contradiction, since G is solvable.

REFERENCES

[A1] M. Aschbacher, *Near subgroups of finite groups*, J. Group Theory **1** (1998), 113–129.

[A2] M. Aschbacher, *On Bol loops of of exponent 2* (to appear).

[Ba] R. Baer, *Nets and groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1939), 110–141.

[Br] R. Bruck, *A Survey of Binary Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[FT] W. Feit and J. Thompson, *Solvability of groups of odd order*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 755–1029.

[FGT] M. Aschbacher, *Finite Group Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[FU] T. Foguel and A. A. Ungar, *Gyrogroups and the decomposition of groups into twisted subgroups and subgroups*, Pacific J. Math. **197** (2001), 1–11.

[G1] G. Glauberman, *Central elements in core free groups*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 403–420.

[G2] G. Glauberman, *On loops of odd order, I*, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 374–396.

[G3] G. Glauberman, *On loops of odd order, II*, J. Algebra **8** (1968), 393–414.

[Ki] H. Kiechle, *Theory of K-loops*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[Pf] H. O. Pflugfelder, *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
[Ro] D. Robinson, *Bol loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1966), 341–354.

Pasadena, California 91125

South Bend, Indiana 46634

Crawfordsville, Indiana 47933